

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.] ;	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/623,642 07/21/2003		07/21/2003	Jason Schultz	10541-1814	5188 ·	
29074	7590	06/15/2005		EXAMINER		
VISTEON		R GILSON & LI	BENTON, JASON			
PO BOX 10		K GILSON & LI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60610				3747		
				DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

C_{i}
V

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/623,642	SCHULTZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason Benton	3747	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	i					
Dotter und thing of any production								
	Jason Benton	3747						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	THE REPLY FILED 18 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whicheve	eris later In no					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(a) They raise new issues that would require further company (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		∩ ⊆ below),						
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	-	jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>1-14,16 and 22</u> w canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely filed	amendment					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		vill be entered and an	explanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>1-14,16 and 22</u> .	•							
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17,18,20 and 21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Notice of Appeal will r	not be entered					
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13. A Other: Claims 17, 18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Joos et al. in view of Ramamurthy et								
al. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd paragraph for failing to further limit the independent claim.								
		Lawsa	200V_					
		Noah P. Ka Primary Exa						